

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		NG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/780,062	80,062 02/17/2004		Calvin Lam		C&M1.PAU.19	9669
23386	7590	06/30/2006			EXAMINER	
		DRAS & SHERI	HORTON, YVONNE MICHELE			
SUITE 1150	MACARTHUR BLVD., 1150				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
IRVINE, C	A 92612	92612			3635	
					DATE MAILED: 06/30/200	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/780,062	LAM, CALVIN				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Yvonne M. Horton	3635				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim viil apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
 1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 Fe 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E 	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 17 February 2004 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exercisity under 35 U.S.C. § 119	e: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Po 6) Other:					

DETAILED ACTION

35 USC 112 Rejections

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. The claims define a finished wood slat made from rejoining multiple slats and cutting the rejoined slats along a direction perpendicular to a face plane of the slats; however, the specification does not the difference on the cut made by the conventional technique and the cut of the instant application. Further clarification is required with regards to the two types of cuts and the differences therebetween.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. In claim 13, it is not clear how the slats are "rejoined". Clarification is required.

Art Unit: 3635

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-8,10-13 and 15-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US Patent #6,763,873 to LEE.

Regarding claim 1, LEE discloses the use of a finished wood slat (91) including multiple first slats (55,57) laminated together (83) and sliced along a direction perpendicular to a face (35,37) thereof.

In reference to claim 2, LEE discloses the use of along thin rectangular shape (91) defining a final face (93,94) having a wood grain resulting from periphery edges of a plurality of first slats (55,57) combined (as by 83) together. Regarding claim 3, the plurality of first slats (55,57) have joining marking (111) that are hidden, column 6, lines 33-37. In reference to claims 4 and 5, the slats (55,57) are laminated and bonded using adhesive (as at 83). Regarding claim 6, inherently, the first slats (55,57) are selectively cut to preferred dimensions so as to form the finished wood slat (91) also selectively cut with preferred dimensions. In reference to claim

Regarding claims 7 and 13, LEE discloses the method of making a wood slat (91) including the steps of providing a bulk wood section (21); cutting successive sections (55,57) in a desired shape along a first plane (P-1), column 4, line 5; rejoining the sections (55,57), as at (83) to form a new bulk section, see figure 3, column 5, lines 60-64; and cutting a second set of successive sections along a second plane (P-2) that is perpendicular to the first plane (P-1) thereby forming a plurality of finished slats (91). In reference to claim 8, the bulk sections (55,57) have joining marks (111) that are hidden, column 6, line 37. Regarding claims 10 and 15, the bulk sections (21) are

Art Unit: 3635

rectangular. In reference to claims 11,12,16 and 17, the wood pieces/bulk sections (55,57)/(21) are thin rectangular shapes especially with regard to the original wood piece from which they are cut.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 9 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent #6,763,873 to LEE. In reference to claims 9 and 14, LEE discloses the basic claimed wood slat except for explicitly detailing the use of markings. Although LEE is silent in this regard, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the rejoined pieces with markings in order to proper guide the cutter to thereby have accurate finished slats of the same dimensions. The applicant is further reminded that marking products, specifically wood products prior to cutting is old and very well known in the art.

Art Unit: 3635

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Yvonne M. Horton whose telephone number is (571) 272-6845. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30 am - 3:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carl D. Friedman can be reached on (571) 272-6842. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Yvonne M. Horton Art Unit 3635 06/25/06